

## Application for Appeal against Outcome of Review

Please ensure that you have read and understood the [Appeal to Academic Appeal Board](#) and [Frequently Asked Questions](#) before completing this form. Payment should be made after the consultation session with the School and the student has decided to proceed with the appeal. Only [online payment](#) is accepted.

1. Personal Details			
Student's Name & Matriculation No.		Programme	

  

2. Details of Appealed Course	
Course Code & Title	HA4040 Health, Disease, Outbreak & Politics
Academic Year & Term <sup>1</sup> Taken	Year 3 Semester 2
Offering School	School of Social Sciences
Name of Course Coordinator	
Outcome of Review of Results	i) Course grade before review : ii) Course grade after review :

3.	<p>State clearly and concisely your reason for this appeal.</p> <p>A decision to proceed with your appeal will be made solely on the information and supporting documents you submit. Please note that only appeals with demonstrable evidence of procedural irregularities and/or unfair assessment would be considered. You should substantiate your submission with reference to specific events and provide relevant documentary evidence where possible. (Attach a separate page for your reasons if the space below is insufficient)</p>
	<p>I would like to deem that the 0 grade for my essay is an unfair assessment. This essay is 45% of the final grade. From my record, I have scored an A for the essay proposal assignment (20%), and I have a record from Professor Sabrina that I have scored a 'very good grade' for the remaining presentation and class participation components (35%) (Attachment 1). This is because my essay is deemed to be AI-generated, which I used the consultation to prove against.</p> <p>I have shown evidence of (Attachment 2): a timelapse of my work with keystrokes, my google document version history. I have also mentioned my essay proposal, which has previously scored an A, where my final essay was a simple extension of the proposal. All these are proof that I did not use generative AI.</p> <p>I used an alphabetizer tool online to arrange my citations from A-Z. I used the website <a href="http://studycrumb.com/alphabetizer">studycrumb.com/alphabetizer</a>, which is the first google search result if you google 'sort citations A-Z', and the reason why I used it (Attachment 3). However, I was accused of AI usage because the website also has AI services, when in fact, I only used it to sort my citations in alphabetical order, which I demonstrated to the panel during the consultation.</p>



**RESTRICTED**

My citation errors are not AI-hallucinations but simply human errors: I have also provided Professor Sabrina with the correct citations and sources, which she acknowledged (Attachment 4). I have also shown the panel that my citation mistakes are solely human errors such as misspellings and wrong calculations of percentages. Hence, I would like to dispute the fact that these sources are fake or AI-generated, as the panel could access all the citation links I provided.

From what I understand, there was a similar case in two semesters ago's "Crisis Management and Leadership" class (also under Professor Sabrina). In class, she showed a student's paper where they were awarded 0 for completely using AI to write his entire essay. My classmate also told me about how Professor Sabrina initially docked 10 marks off his final essay for chatgpt usage in summarising sources he used, whereas the judgment she gave me was a 0. (Attachment 5) If we use these cases as precedent, I believe that it is not justifiable to penalise me with inconsistent judgment.

While I understand that citing correctly is an important academic standard, receiving a 0 for this paper is an extreme outcome, as this equates my punishment with a previous offender who did use AI tools. This also puts me on the same level as other NTU offenders that completely AI-generated entire essays, when what I committed were human typos.

In academic settings, while citations are important for giving credit to sources, they are usually not treated as the sole determinant for an essay's grade. One of my classmates also wrote an appeal for my case, saying that citation mistakes are common, and most PPGA professors treat it with understanding instead of penalising students severely (Attachment 6)

There are essay components in HA4040's rubric (Attachment 7) such as the strength of my argumentation, or the strength of my ideas, which are not credited for. Therefore, I understand if I'm penalised for my citations under the 'accuracy' section of the rubric, but receiving a zero, is not a proportionate outcome.

<sup>1</sup> To indicate the specific semester/trimester/mini-term.

**RESTRICTED**

**4. Declaration**

- a) I would like NTU to consider my appeal and declare that the facts stated and supporting documentation provided in this application are true. I understand and consent to:
- NTU's need to handle personal details about me which could include sensitive information (e.g. health/wellbeing information) in order to evaluate my appeal effectively.
  - NTU's need to exchange information about my appeal with other relevant persons within NTU and external organisations.
- b) I also understand that I may be required to present myself at a hearing. All hearing proceedings are confidential, and no information relating to the proceedings shall be disclosed, published or shared by the student or any attendee, except with the written consent of the Board or otherwise compelled by law. However, NTU reserves the right to disclose the same if it deems necessary. This includes but is not limited to situations whereby clarification of its position is needed at a public forum or in relation to legal action.

Name	
Signature	
Date	25 June 2025

**Documents Submission Checklist**

Please use the checklist as a guide to ensure the required documents are submitted.

S/N		Type of Documents
1	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Application for Appeal against Outcome of Review Form
2	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Consultation Form
3	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Supporting documents (Demonstrable evidence of procedural irregularity or unfair assessment)
4	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Payment receipt
Please email your completed documents to the Office of Academic Services at <a href="mailto:exam@ntu.edu.sg">exam@ntu.edu.sg</a> indicating " <b>Appeal Against Outcome of Review for &lt;course code&gt;</b> " as subject title.		